

**Beyond Inheritance:
The Mechanisms of Intergenerational
Trauma in Porochista Khakpour's
Sons and Other Flammable Objects**Ali Naderi Shojaei¹ Mohammad Motiee² Seyed Reza Ebrahimi³ **Studies in literature and Culture:
from Theory to Practice**

2026, Vol. 1(2) 93-105

© The Author(s) 2026



Article reuse guidelines:

<https://slic.qom.ac.ir/> 10.22091/SLIC.2026.14696.1019
journals.qom.ac.ir

Article reuse guidelines

Abstract:

Trauma is a central theme in Persian diaspora literature. While its psychological, cultural, and historical dimensions are well-studied, the mechanisms of its intergenerational transmission remain less explored. This study argues that Khakpour's *Sons and Other Flammable Objects* treats trauma as an active process of generational transfer, moving beyond viewing trauma as a mere inheritance. The research aims to answer two questions: Through what specific narrative mechanisms does the novel dramatize the process of trauma transmission? And how does it conceptualize the possibility of healing or resistance for the second generation? This analysis uses an integrated framework drawing on Cathy Caruth's concepts of the unspeakable and belated trauma, Jeffrey Alexander's cultural trauma theory, and Michelle Balaev's narrative agency. The analysis identifies three core mechanisms of traumatic transmission: a patriarchal lexicon that enforces silence, the weaponization of cultural dislocation within the home to fracture identity, and a pedagogical narration of history that inscribes a legacy of decline into the next generation's sense of self. Findings reveal that healing from this legacy is not achieved through reconciliation with the traumatic narrative but requires its active combustion. The protagonist's ultimate act of narrative agency—rejecting his father's deterministic sentences and authoring a new, relational lexicon—demonstrates that breaking the cycle of intergenerational trauma hinges on the second generation's courage to dismantle the authority of the painful past and self-author a future.

Keywords: Cultural Dislocation; Intergenerational Trauma; Patriarchal Lexicon; Pedagogical Narration; Persian Diaspora.

¹ Ph.D. Candidate of English Literature, Department of English Language and Literature, CT.C., Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. (ali.naderi.shojaei@iau.ac.ir)

² Assistant Professor of English Literature, Department of English Language and Literature, CT.C., Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. (Corresponding author) (m.motiee@iau.ac.ir)

³ Assistant Professor of English Literature, Department of English Language and Literature, Sa.C., Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran. (s.ebrahimi@iau.ac.ir)

How to cite this article:

Ali Naderi Shojaei & Mohammad Motiee & Seyed Reza Ebrahimi. "Beyond Inheritance: The Mechanisms of Intergenerational Trauma in Porochista Khakpour's *Sons and Other Flammable Objects*". *Studies in Literature and Culture*, vol.1, no.2, 2026, pp 93-105.

<https://doi.org/10.22091/slic.2026.14696.1019>.

*Received 30/01/2026; Received in revised form 01/04/2026; Accepted 12/08/2026; Published online 30/09/2026.

1. Introduction

The literature of the Persian diaspora is fundamentally a literature of aftermath, profoundly constituted by the traumas of revolution, war, and displacement. This tradition is not merely about the catastrophic events themselves, but about their enduring, haunting presence in the lives of those in exile. A central and pervasive concern within this framework is intergenerational trauma—the process by which the silent, unresolved wounds of parents, forged in the crucible of historical catastrophe, are transmitted to and negotiated by their children. The diasporic family thus becomes the primary site where this inherited pain is mapped, revealing the intimate consequences of public, historical violence.

Scholarly engagement with this corpus has been robust, yet it has largely operated within the macro-level framework of cultural trauma. Following Jeffrey C. Alexander, critics have effectively situated these narratives within the collective identity formation of a diaspora, mapping how seminal events become "indelible marks upon... group consciousness" (Alexander et al. 1). This scholarship has adeptly contextualized the socio-political causes and collective symptoms of trauma, establishing the "what" of the diasporic condition. Similarly, a psychoanalytic lens, informed by thinkers like Cathy Caruth, has been used to describe the psychological consequences—the "freefall" and fragmentation of characters who embody a belated and unknowable wound. However, this scholarship has largely treated trauma as a diagnostic label or a symbolic atmosphere. The critical lacuna lies at the intersection of these approaches: a failure to examine the micro-mechanics of transmission that bridge the gap between Alexander's collective context and Caruth's individual psyche. The prevailing focus has neglected a systematic analysis of the specific, daily interactions through which a parent's unresolved cultural trauma is actively encoded into a child's developing identity.

This study addresses this gap by arguing that Poro-chista Khakpour's *Sons and Other Flammable Objects* serves as a crucial case study precisely because it moves beyond merely depicting intergenerational trauma to anatomizing its operational circuitry. The novel reframes trauma not as an unclear atmospheric inheritance but as an active, paternal project of transmission. This study argues that *Sons and Other Flammable Objects* demonstrates how the father, Darius Adam, weaponizes language, pedagogy, and space to systematically inscribe his unresolved wounds onto his son, Xerxes. The problem, therefore, is not simply that trauma is inherited, but how: through what specific, intimate mechanisms is the abstract, collective trauma of diaspora translated into the concrete, psychological law of the family? Based on this problem, the study is guided by the following research questions: Through what specific narrative techniques does the novel formalize the process of intergenerational trauma transmission within the diasporic family? And how does it conceptualize the possibility of healing or resistance, exploring the ways in which the second generation can reclaim narrative agency from a traumatic inheritance?

2. Methodology and Approach

This study constructs an integrated theoretical framework to analyze the mechanisms of intergenerational trauma in Poro-chista Khakpour's *Sons and Other Flammable Objects*. The methodology is conceived not as a sequential application of theories, but as a critical dialogue between three complementary approaches. By placing Cathy Caruth's psychoanalytic model of the unspeakable wound in conversation with Jeffrey Alexander's framework of collective meaning, this analysis traces how a public, historical catastrophe becomes a private, psychological inheritance. Caruth's concept of trauma as an unassimilated event that manifests through belated repetition—where "the most direct seeing of a violent event may occur as an absolute inability to know it" (Unclaimed Experience, 91-92)—provides the foundation for understanding psychological fragmentation. Alexander's definition of cultural trauma as occurring "when members of a collectivity feel they have been subjected to a horrendous event that leaves indelible marks upon their group consciousness" (Cultural Trauma, 1) then situates this individual suffering within the broader context of the Iranian diaspora. Michelle Balaev's concept of narrative agency becomes crucial for understanding how this inheritance can be resisted and reworked. Her emphasis on how "the meaning of a traumatic experience can be determined by a remembering process that is open to alteration over time" (*The Nature of Trauma*, xiv) illuminates the novel's exploration of speakability and the transformation of silent suffering.

To apply this theoretical dialogue, the study employs a method of systematic close reading focused on three key textual elements: the analysis of key scenes where traumatic memory erupts into the present; the tracking of lexical and metaphorical patterns related to fire, silence, and linguistic failure; and the examination of spatial descriptions that reflect psychological states of dislocation. This multi-layered approach allows for a comprehensive understanding of how Khakpour's novel represents trauma as simultaneously psychological, cultural, and narrative, ultimately examining the possibilities for its reworking within the diasporic experience.

3. Literature Review

Scholarly engagement with Poro-chista Khakpour's *Sons and Other Flammable Objects* has firmly established it as a pivotal text for understanding the Iranian-American diasporic experience. This criticism has evolved through distinct but interconnected phases, consistently foregrounding trauma as a central thematic and structural concern. However, a critical synthesis reveals a persistent gap concerning the intimate mechanisms of traumatic inheritance.

Early scholarship effectively situated the novel within the necessary macro-level historical and political contexts. Scholars like Jay Nitin Shelat (2024) and Arash Rahmani & Amir Ali

Nojournian (2023) anchor the Adam family's struggles in the legacy of the Iran hostage crisis and the pervasive anti-Iranian sentiment in post-9/11 America. Shelat's metaphor of the Iranian-American subject in "freefall" articulates the psychological fragmentation caused by "dominating racializing structures." Simultaneously, Rahmani and Nojournian, applying Jeffrey Alexander's theory of cultural trauma, frame the novel as part of a "carrier group" that works to articulate a new, marginalized collective identity for Iranians in America. This macro-level view is complemented by broader studies of Iranian diasporic literature, such as Daniel Grassian's (2013), which notes how these narratives deconstruct stereotypes while navigating a dual cultural trauma.

Building on this foundation, a second wave of criticism delved into the novel's psychological and symbolic dimensions. Cyrus Amiri and Mahdijeh Govah (2023) connect Khakpour's work to a longer Iranian literary tradition by juxtaposing it with Sadegh Hedayat's *The Blind Owl*, arguing that the novel engages with a collective cultural trauma to counter ethnocentric nationalism. More specific to the familial sphere, scholars like Leila Zonouzi (2023) contextualize the central father-son conflict within sociological theories of acculturation, identifying "dissonant acculturation" in the son, Xerxes, clashing with the father's "consonant resistance." This phase of criticism successfully thematizes the fact of traumatic inheritance and intergenerational estrangement but often stops short of dissecting the specific processes of transfer.

The most precise tools for understanding the novel are emerging from the nascent field of trauma-specific analysis and inquiries into narrative form. The work of Aditi Chakraverty (2023), who defines intergenerational trauma as a transference through "family dynamics, cultural practices, narratives, and collective memory," directly invites a micro-level analysis. Similarly, Amir Barati's (2023) exploration of "traumatic disaffection" investigates how trauma manifests physically in the bodies of characters, connecting collective experience to intimate suffering. Parallel to this, scholarship on diasporic writing more broadly, such as M. Aidani's (2011) study of "poetic testimony" and Pardis Minucheher Karim's (2022) analysis of "cultural translatability," highlights how literary form and language themselves become crucial media for negotiating unspeakable experiences and the "anxiety of erasure" (H. Al-Samman, 2015).

While the existing scholarship has masterfully accomplished three key tasks—describing the psychological condition of diaspora (e.g., Shelat), contextualizing its trauma within history and culture (e.g., Rahmani & Nojournian), and thematizing intergenerational conflict as a site of inheritance (e.g., Zonouzi)—a critical lacuna remains. The current literature

tells us that trauma is transmitted and what its consequences are, but it does not systematically dissect the specific, operational mechanisms through which trauma is actively transferred within the intimate sphere of the family.

Despite a robust and evolving scholarship that adeptly identifies, contextualizes, and thematizes trauma within Iranian diasporic literature, a critical lacuna persists. The prevailing focus has been on the manifestations and socio-historical compounds of trauma, effectively mapping its presence and consequences across generations. However, the precise processes and mechanisms through which this trauma is actively transferred within the familial sphere remain substantially under-examined. While the existing literature convincingly establishes that intergenerational trauma occurs, it has largely neglected a systematic analysis of the intimate conduits through which the unresolved wounds of the parental generation are encoded into the psyche of their children. This study seeks to address this gap by shifting the critical focus from describing what is inherited to anatomizing how the inheritance operates, specifically through the mechanisms of lexicon, pedagogy, and spatial control.

4. Discussion

4.1. The Intergenerational Cycle of Trauma in *Sons and Other Flammable Objects*

This paper argues that Poro-chista Khakpour's *Sons and Other Flammable Objects* depicts intergenerational trauma within an Iranian-American diaspora family as a cycle transmitted through patriarchal silencing, cultural dislocation, and dysfunctional storytelling. The analysis is structured to trace this cycle: first, through the lexical mechanisms of trauma transmission; second, through the internalization of cultural dislocation; and finally, through the struggle for narrative agency that constitutes a fragile healing. An analytical framework incorporating Cathy Caruth, Jeffrey Alexander, and Michelle Balaev illuminates this dynamic, revealing how trauma is enforced, embodied, and ultimately contested.

4.1.1. The Patriarchal Lexicon: "Enough" as a Mechanism of Trauma Transfer

This section dissects the core mechanisms of traumatic transmission, charting the cycle from its daily enforcement through a patriarchal lexicon to its inevitable, explosive failure. In intergenerational trauma studies, the unspeakable is not merely absent; it is actively constructed and transmitted through a sanctioned lexicon of silence. Poro-chista Khakpour's novel masterfully charts this process, centering on the word "Enough" as a core mechanism of patriarchal trauma transfer. The novel shows that family trauma is not just passively inherited; it is actively passed down through specific words and behaviors. The central mechanism of this transmission is a single, powerful word: "Enough." This word, wielded by the father, Darius, is not used to resolve pain but to silence it. However, suppressed trauma does not vanish. It builds up until it explodes, often transferring the wound directly to the next generation.

This destructive cycle is perfectly illustrated in a pivotal scene where Darius tries to confess a dark childhood memory to his son, Xerxes. The conversation begins with a simple question from Xerxes about backyard animals, but Darius, lost in his own past, launches into a horrific story. He describes a cruel ritual from his youth in Tehran: he and other boys would capture a dove, douse it in kerosene, and set it on fire. As the bird was released, it would fly away, a "shooting star" moving "madly, wildly, desperately, like all hell" (33). For Darius, this act of brutality was about control, a twisted feeling of power: "For once, it was in our very hands" (33). He ends the story with a quiet, "Would you believe—" (33), but the expected absolution does not come. Xerxes does not offer comfort or understanding. Instead, he is physically stunned. The narrative describes him as "glazed" and "deadish," as if the ghost of the burning bird has just taken residence inside him (34). The father's attempt to share a burden has, instead, created a new, visceral wound in his son.

In the aftermath of this failed confession, we see the word "Enough" in its full, destructive force. Overwhelmed by shame, Darius does not apologize. He explodes in a rage, blaming Xerxes for not accepting their painful history. He shouts, "You can't face that you were built of my past... can you?" and ends his tirade with the command that defines their relationship: "enough, let us move on!!" (35). This is not the first time "Enough" has been used this way. The novel establishes a clear lineage for this toxic word. Darius learned it from his own father. The grandfather's use of the word was described as a "merciful truncation," a way to end a difficult conversation (44). But Darius has twisted this inheritance. He has transformed it into what the novel calls his "favorite word... he, Darius, was born for the last word of last words: 'ENOUGH.'" (20). It is no longer a mercy, but a weapon used to assert final authority and sever any connection to a painful past.

This linguistic mechanism directly produces the condition of belatedness central to Caruth's trauma theory. Xerxes never witnesses the original catastrophes; his trauma is entirely mediated through this inherited word, which actively severs the narrative chain connecting past to present. His psyche rebels against this enforced void through a compulsive "fascination and terror with fire"—a belated, symptomatic performance of the story he is forbidden to tell. The failure of this patriarchal dictum is embedded in the text. Darius's internal plea—"But it doesn't work, I am telling you!... enough, let us move on!!" (Khakpour 34)—reveals the word's true purpose as a failed exorcism, a spell that only reinforces memory's power.

This dissertation develops the foundational argument advanced by Vafa et al. in their study of hegemonic masculinity by extending their analysis into the realm of intergenerational trauma. Where their work astutely frames the word "Enough" as a conscious tool for enforcing "gender hegemony" (134), this analysis reveals a more profound, pathological dimension. The novel meticulously traces how this dictum is not merely a strategic performance of power but a symptomatic re-enactment of a maladaptive coping mechanism inherited from a traumatized predecessor. The "merciful truncation" Darius learned from his own father—an

alcoholic who severed conversations to avoid "life's somber truths"—was itself a trauma response, a flawed tool to manage a painful reality. Consequently, when Darius replicates this pattern, silencing his son's inquiries with a curt "son—enough!" (Khakpour 68), he is not solely asserting dominance. He is unconsciously transmitting a wound, bequeathing what the novel powerfully terms a "cruel genetic osmosis" (Khakpour 55). Thus, the trajectory of "Enough" illustrates the paradoxical cycle at the heart of patriarchal trauma transfer: the very tool wielded to suppress and control pain becomes the primary vehicle for its transmission to the next generation.

The patriarchal architecture of silence inevitably crumbles, as demonstrated in a pivotal scene where Darius, weakened by illness, is violently compelled to speak. His confession of a childhood trauma—accidentally setting a flock of doves on fire—becomes the site where Caruth's unspeakable, belated trauma and Balaev's struggle for speakability collide. The narrative captures his disorientation as past and present fuse: "He was somewhere else: stargazing in a courtyard, lost in strange and parallel streets, Tehran, New York, young boys, grown men, kerosene and lighter... hell, doves, peace—it was all, it was all coming together. ..." (Khakpour 159).

This is a quintessential illustration of Caruthian trauma. The memory has been buried for decades, its full impact experienced only in its delayed, hysterical return. The struggle for speakability is physically manifested; his voice is "stuck," and his attempt to yell produces a "woman's scream" (Khakpour 160)—a sound that dismantles his masculine persona. When articulation is finally achieved, it is described as "realigning themselves like an old memorized prayer, everything falling into place—the boys, the night, the lighter, the kerosene, the birds, the stars—everything, it was out" (Khakpour 160). This represents a desperate, Balaevian narrative act of survival.

However, this hard-won speakability does not lead to healing. Lala's response—"Oh, Darius." Suddenly her voice was even smaller than a whisper. "Why did you tell me that?" (Khakpour 160)—reveals that the transmission of trauma is itself a violent act. This dynamic can be framed by Alexander's cultural trauma; Darius's horrific memory becomes a new, devastating "meaning" attached to their collective identity. While scholars like Ahrens et al. read the burning doves as a symbol of diaspora and the father's destructive impact (4), this analysis argues that beyond its symbolic function, the memory operates most fundamentally as the core belated and unspeakable trauma in the Caruthian sense—the literal, unassimilated psychic event that haunts Darius and is transmitted to Xerxes. The confession ultimately argues that trauma cannot be governed by patriarchal decree; it will always find a way to speak, often ensuring the wound is inherited anew.

4.1.2. Cultural Dislocation and the Fractured Self: Names as Sites of Cultural Trauma

This section studies how the abstract trauma of diaspora becomes a concrete, inherited psychological wound, moving from public othering to domestic enforcement. In the novel, names are not merely identifiers but active battlegrounds where the cultural trauma of displacement is fought. For Darius Adam, his and his son's names are sacred links to a glorious Persian past, yet in the American present, they become unwieldy markers of difference. From the moment of his arrival, his introduction as "Dareeoosh Odd-damn" (Khakpour 29) is met with erasure. He relentlessly corrects others on the pronunciation of "Adam," shouting, "Odd-damn! Odd-damn!" like an "overzealous standard-bearer" (Khakpour 36).

Applying Alexander's theory, Darius positions himself as a one-man "carrier group" for the cultural trauma of the Iranian diaspora. His relentless corrections are his method of "representing" the catastrophic event of displacement and crafting a "master identity" rooted in a pre-revolutionary Iran. However, his project is fundamentally flawed; the identity he constructs is grievance-based, defined more by what it is against than what it is for. The transmission of this trauma to Xerxes is a direct consequence. For the son, the name is a burden. His "secret and sometimes not-so-secret acquiescence to the Americanized 'Aaa-dumb'" (Khakpour 36) is a survival strategy his father interprets as betrayal. The cultural trauma, transmitted through this onomastic conflict, forces Xerxes into an impossible double bind, ensuring he inherits not the glory of Darius the Great, but the daily, humiliating struggle of Darius Adam. This onomastic conflict is not merely symbolic; it lays the groundwork for the more violent enforcement of cultural boundaries within the domestic space, where Xerxes's self is literally fractured

The Adam family home is a crucible where the traumas of diaspora are forged into the son's psychological inheritance. A violent confrontation precipitated by a broken picture frame reveals the precise mechanisms of this transmission. Darius's shout—"One of the few times we are not home—and we are almost always home—and this!" (Khakpour 115)—reveals a psyche governed by hyper-vigilance. The true transgression is the "mixing of worlds": the introduction of his son's American friend and their intimate moment of "bumping lips" into this sanctified space. His subsequent beating, punctuated by the word "NEVER," (115) is a brutal, physical enactment of a cultural imperative.

The transmission of this cultural trauma into direct intergenerational trauma occurs through the physical and psychological violation of Xerxes. As Darius strikes him, Xerxes's perception shatters: "he saw stars. Stars... All white-hotness, a vacuum of light, the color of fire." (Khakpour 116). This moment forges a sensory link between Xerxes's personal suffering and his father's unresolved trauma; the "white-hotness" and "fire" directly connect to the "burning birds" of Darius's confession. The consequence is the strategic fragmentation of Xerxes's identity. The lesson is seared into him: "never again did he mix worlds" (116). This

vow is the direct internalization of his father's cultural dictum, transforming it into a personal psychological law that necessitates self-alienation as a survival strategy.

Jay Nitin Shelat, in his paper "Falling Down and Apart: Post-Orientalism and Iranian American Identity in *Sons and Other Flammable Objects*," concludes that the novel depicts identity as being "in freefall," arguing that "tumbles, falls, and collapses convey the lack of control the Iranian American characters, especially protagonist Xerxes, have in shaping their own identities against dominating racializing structures" of post-Orientalism (90). This dissertation develops Shelat's foundational idea by moving from the metaphor of the "fall" to a precise anatomical study of the wound and its intergenerational transmission. While Shelat expertly diagnoses the condition of freefall, this analysis excavates the specific mechanisms within the "intimate spheres" that cause the fracture. This development is achieved by applying Jeffrey C. Alexander's cultural trauma theory to reveal how the public trauma of diaspora is weaponized within the home.

The analysis demonstrates that the father, Darius, acts as a "carrier group" who enforces a fractured identity through two key domestic strategies: the onomastic battlefield of names, where the struggle over pronunciation becomes a site for transmitting a grievance-based identity, and the empire of the father, where the terror of "mixing worlds" is violently policed. It is through these specific, intimate enforcements that the abstract "freefall" described by Shelat becomes a concrete, inherited psychological wound, forcing Xerxes to internalize his father's trauma as a "personal psychological law that necessitates self-alienation as a survival strategy." Thus, this chapter provides the missing link between the external racializing structures Shelat identifies and the internal, fragmented self of the protagonist.

4.1.3. Narrative Agency: The Pedagogy of History as Trauma

The identity of the second-generation subject in *Sons and Other Flammable Objects* is forged in the crucible of intergenerational trauma. The novel frames identity formation as a battle against an inherited traumatic past, where healing is only possible through the active creation of a personal counter-narrative. This struggle is crystallized in the father's pedagogical project—a weaponized history that inscribes trauma directly into the son's sense of self—and the son's ultimate, resilient act of self-authoring.

Darius Adam appoints himself a solitary teacher, weaponizing a curated narrative of the Persian past to shape his son's identity, a process that embodies Jeffrey Alexander's theory of cultural trauma. His pedagogy is rooted in a stark, hierarchical mythologizing designed to forge a collective identity around glory and decline. His lessons are explicit and damning:

Fact: Darius was a solid good guy; Xerxes, while interesting, ruined everything... He was the way down, while his father was the way way up... And why am I named after this total loser? (Khakpour 56)

This is not a neutral historical account but a foundational lesson in a curriculum of cultural trauma. By framing their very names through this lens of paternal triumph and filial failure, Darius acts as a "carrier group," representing his family as heirs to a catastrophic civilizational decline. The narrative is internalized by Xerxes not as abstract history, but as a pre-scripted identity, leading to his poignant and rebellious counter-question. This question is the first crack in the pedagogical facade—a moment of active resistance where the son refuses the passive absorption of a toxic inheritance.

This historical teaching permeates the symbolic management of daily life. The "camel card" incident exemplifies this, where a racialized stereotype is met not with comfort but with a paternal lesson in shame and hyper-vigilance. Similarly, Darius's confession of burning birds is delivered not as shared vulnerability but as the ultimate, horrific lecture. For Xerxes, this is the moment the pedagogical burden becomes crushing, as "history had become theirs, just theirs" (34). The weight of this private trauma, framed as an inescapable historical truth, causes a physical, Caruthian eruption of belatedness, making Xerxes shudder as "a ghost had taken residence in his body" (34). The unspeakable past, transmitted through the father's story, violently invades and possesses the son's present.

The psychic cost of this pedagogical violence is a fragmented identity that actively seeks alternative narratives. Xerxes's fascination with the American television genie, Jeannie, is a direct refutation of his father's grim curriculum. She represents an escape from a heritage he perceives as "dark, doom-loving, heavy with the weight of history... a part of the map they'd teach them to color black, if not blood" (77-78). His desire to "fill the textbooks with her stuff" (78) is a powerful act of Balaevian narrative resilience—an internal, imaginative rebellion where he constructs a counter-identity based on "brightness that did not burn."

The novel's climax presents the possibility of a more profound healing, which occurs only when Xerxes actively dismantles the core tenet of his father's pedagogy: the inevitability of estrangement. Faced with the deterministic sentence that this would be "the last time the two men would speak ever again," Xerxes performs a final, revolutionary act of self-authoring. He consciously "lit the sentence like the wick of a dynamite stick and let the words combust into shattered particles of forever-rejected memory refuse" (300). This violent metaphor represents the deliberate destruction of the traumatic narrative itself—the ultimate refusal of his father's pedagogical narrative of inevitable loss.

Having demolished the old narrative, he constructs a new foundation for his identity with a single, powerful word: "Dad?" (300). This utterance is the core of his emergent counter-narrative. It is not in Farsi, the language of his father's "kingdom," nor is it part of the complex, burdened lexicon of their historical trauma. It is a primal, relational word that bypasses the curated cultural identity altogether. By choosing this word, Xerxes ceases to be the failed King Xerxes of his father's curriculum and becomes simply a son reaching for his father. He demonstrates that healing from the pedagogical wound is not about mastering the historical

record, but about rejecting its authority to define the self. The path to peace lies not in integrating a toxic legacy, but in the courage to combust it, thereby creating the possibility for a new, more human connection.

5. Conclusion

Sons and Other Flammable Objects meticulously anatomizes intergenerational trauma not as a vague atmospheric inheritance, but as an active process of transmission executed through specific, identifiable mechanisms. The novel depicts trauma as a paternal inheritance, weaponized through language and pedagogy. Darius Adam's unresolved history is actively transmitted through a sanctioned lexicon of silence embodied in the word "Enough," a curated "his-story" of Persian decline, and the violent policing of cultural boundaries. For his son, Xerxes, this inheritance results in a fragmented identity.

This analysis has charted the trajectory of this traumatic cycle, revealing three core conduits through which Darius's unresolved wounds are systematically transferred to his son. First, the Patriarchal Lexicon, epitomized by the word "Enough," functions as a mechanism of enforced silence. This dictum, passed down from Darius's own father, is wielded to sever the narrative chain to a painful past. Its inevitable failure, however, causes the unspeakable to erupt in explosive confessions that directly wound the son. Second, the trauma of Cultural Dislocation is weaponized within the domestic sphere. Through the onomastic battlefield of names and the violent policing of cultural boundaries against "mixing worlds," the abstract trauma of diaspora is forged into a concrete, psychological law that the son must internalize, leading to a fractured self. Third, Pedagogical Narration serves as a mechanism where history itself is curated into a "his-story" of civilizational and filial decline. This weaponized pedagogy inscribes trauma directly into the son's sense of identity, positioning him as the heir to a legacy of failure.

The distinctive innovation of this analysis lies in its synthesis of trauma theory with a precise examination of these intimate, discursive practices. While previous studies have effectively framed these dynamics as performances of gender hegemony or metaphors for an identity in free fall under external pressures, this study moves beyond those frameworks to reveal the pathological and unconscious dimension of transmission. It demonstrates that tools like the lexicon of "Enough" are not merely conscious strategies of power but symptomatic re-enactments of maladaptive coping—a "cruel genetic osmosis" that operates within the domestic sphere.

Ultimately, the novel's most profound insight—and this analysis's central contention—is that healing from such an inheritance cannot be achieved through reconciliation with the traumatic narrative. In a significant departure from the "return narrative" prevalent in much of Persian diasporic literature, healing for the second generation is not found in a symbolic return

to a homeland. For Xerxes, a livable self cannot be secured by mastering his father's "his-story" or integrating the toxic legacy. Instead, it must be seized through a deliberate and violent narrative rupture. By consciously combusting his father's deterministic sentences and authoring a new, relational lexicon beginning with the simple word "Dad?," Xerxes performs an ultimate act of narrative agency. He demonstrates that breaking the cycle of intergenerational trauma requires not the assimilation of a painful past, but the courageous rejection of its authority to define the future. In doing so, he initiates a fragile, yet self-authored, beginning.

Works Cited

Ahrens, Jürgen, et al. "The Symbolic Scars of Diaspora: Fire and Flight in Porochista Khakpour's *Sons and Other Flammable Objects*." *Journal of Diaspora Studies*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2022, pp. 1-15.

Aidani, M. "Iranian Poetic Testimonies of Revolution, Trauma and Displacement." *Australian Feminist Studies*, vol. 26, no. 67, 2011, pp. 121-135. Taylor & Francis Online, doi:10.1080/08164649.2011.546339.

Alexander, Jeffrey C., et al. *Cultural Trauma and Collective Identity*. University of California Press, 2004.

Al-Samman, H. *Anxiety of Erasure: Trauma, Authorship, and the Diaspora in Arab Women's Writings*. Syracuse University Press, 2015.

Amiri, Cyrus, and Mahdiyeh Govah. "The Blind Owl's Shadow: Cultural Trauma from Hedayat to Khakpour." *Comparative Literature Studies*, vol. 60, no. 3, 2023, pp. 450-467.

Balaev, Michelle. *The Nature of Trauma in American Novels*. Northwestern University Press, 2012.

Barati, Amir. *Traumatic Bodies and Diasporic Disaffection: A Study of Iranian American Literature in English*. 2023. University of California, Irvine, PhD dissertation. ProQuest, www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/traumatic-bodies-diasporic-disaffection-study/docview/2838332938/se-2.

Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996.

Chakraverty, Aditi. "Narrating the Unspoken: Mechanisms of Intergenerational Trauma in Diasporic Fiction." *South Asian Review*, vol. 44, no. 1, 2023, pp. 88-104.

Grassian, Daniel. *Iranian and Diasporic Literature in the 21st Century: A Critical Study*. McFarland, 2013.

Karim, Pardis Minuchehr. "The Cultural Translatability of Betweenness: Reading the Literature of the Iranian Diaspora." *The Routledge Handbook of Persian Literary Translation*, edited by Pouneh Shabani-Jadidi et al., Routledge, 2022, pp. 445-459.

Khakpour, Porochista. *Sons and Other Flammable Objects*. Grove Press, 2007.

Naghibi, Nima. "Revolution, Trauma, and Nostalgia in Diasporic Iranian Women's Autobiographies." *Radical History Review*, vol. 2009, no. 105, 2009, pp. 79-91. Project MUSE, doi:10.1215/01636545-2008-036.

Rahmani, Arash, and Amir Ali Nojournian. "Cultural Trauma in Post-9/11 Fiction: Representing the Marginalization of Iranians in Diasporic Novels." *Humanities Diliman*, vol. 20, no. 1, 2023, pp. 1-25.

Shelat, Jay Nitin. "Falling Down and Apart: Post-Orientalism and Iranian American Identity in *Sons and Other Flammable Objects*." *MELUS*, vol. 49, no. 1, 2024, pp. 89-110.

Vafa, Amir, et al. "The Lexicon of Power: Hegemonic Masculinity and Silencing in the Iranian Diasporic Family." *Journal of Men's Studies*, vol. 30, no. 2, 2021, pp. 125-140.

Zonouzi, Leila. "Dissonant Acculturation and Consonant Resistance: The Father-Son Conflict in Contemporary Iranian-American Narratives." *Diaspora Studies*, vol. 16, no. 2, 2023, pp. 210-228.